## Why are there disjunctive particles in Sinhala & Dravidian relative-correlatives?

Existential particles in non-existential environments

#### Benjamin Slade

Dept. of Linguistics University of Utah

23rd International Conference on Historical Linguistics San Antonio, Texas

Logical Vocabulary & Logical Change workshop 3 August 2016

B Slade (Uni. of Utah)

Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles Distribution of mu &  $\kappa$  particles

ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

B Slade (Uni. of Utah)

Overview

←□→←□→←□→←□→□□
→○

Distribution of  $\mathit{mu}$  &  $\kappa$  particles

ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

## "conjunctive"/"universal" and "disjunctive"/"existential" particles in select languages

#### Superparticles

- $\, \bullet \,$  In a number of languages, including Japanese, Sinhala, and Dravidian, we find particles from 2 series playing a wide variety of roles (Szabolcsi 2010, 2015, Slade 2011, Mitrović 2014, amongst others; cf. Reichenbach 1947, Rohrer 1973).
- ${\tt 0}{\tt \ }$  The  $\mu\text{-type}$  (< Japanese mo ) appears in universal & conjunctive environments; the  $\kappa$ -type (< Japanese ka) in existential & disjunctive (& interrogative) environments.

	Japanese	Dravidian	Sinhala	Nepali	Hindi	Hungarian
$\mu$ -series	mo	um	t	pani	bhī	is, mind
$\kappa$ -series	ka 	ō	da (də), hō (hari)	_	_	vagy 

ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles

B Slade (Uni. of Utah)

## Examples of $\mu$ environments

① Distribution of  $mu \& \kappa$  particles

"ever" free relatives crosslinguistically

4 Analysis of  $\mu$  relative-correlatives

 $\bigcirc$  Analysis of  $\kappa$  relative-correlatives

Summary/Conclusion/References

6 Loose Ends & Historical

 $\bigcirc$   $\kappa$  in Sinhala & Dravidian: relative clauses & beyond

	Japanese	Dravidian	Sinhala	Nepali	Hindi	Hungarian
everyone,	dare-mo	ār- <b>um</b>	kauru-t	_	_	mind-en-ki
anyone						
both A&B	A-mo B-mo	A-um B-um	_	A pani B pani	A <b>bhī</b> B <b>bhī</b>	mind A mind B
			P-t Q-t			A is (és) B is
A too,	A-mo	A-um	A-t	A pani	A <b>bh</b> ī	A is
even A						

Table:  $\mu$  elements

B Slade (Uni. of Utah)

Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles

←□ → ←□ → ← = → ← = → ○ ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

κ in Sinhala & Dravidian: relative clauses & beyond

## Examples of $\kappa$ environments

	Japanese	Dravidian	Sinhala	Nepali	Hindi	Hungarian
someone	dare- <b>ka</b>	ār- <b>ō</b>	kau- <b>də</b>	-	-	<b>vala</b> -ki
who Vs?	dare-ga V <b>ka</b>	_	V-ekau- <b>də</b>	-	_	_
A or B	A-ka B(-ka)	A- <b>ō</b> B- <b>ō</b>	A- <b>də</b> B- <b>də</b> ,	_	_	(vagy) A vagy B
			A-hari R-hari			

Table:  $\kappa$  elements

### Sinhala da in Classical Sinhala

Usually appears in yes/no questions
(1) To me suta Budun desannā

2SG-PRN this sermon Buddha preach. PRES.PTCP.NOM hear.PAST.2SG  $\boldsymbol{da}$ "Did you hear the Buddha preaching this sermon?" [Amāvatura 228, Wijemanne 1984: 71]

netimes but not always in wh-questions
(2) Dæn paeviji væ kumaṭa kiyam

now ordained been what.DAT say.PRES.1SG da

"Now that I am a monk, why would I say it?" [Amāvatura 76]

Appears in alternative questions

mā... nuvaṭahu arabhayā kī dæ nipan da no nipan da? my... religious mendicant about said things QUOT born da NEG born da? "Did my predictions regarding the religious mendicant prove correct or did they not?"(12th century, Amāvatura 178) (Wijemanne 1984: 75)

In non-interrogative contexts,  $h\bar{o}$  appears rather than da(4) yuvaraja-væ siṭiyavun  $h\bar{o}$  ... rāja-kumāra-varun  $h\bar{o}$  ... bisōvarun  $h\bar{o}$  heir-apparent  $h\bar{o}$  ... princes  $h\bar{o}$  ... queen  $h\bar{o}$ "Either the heir-apparent . . . or the princes . . . or the queen" (12th c. inscription;

Wickremasinghe et al. 1912–1933: ii.161B<sup>5-7</sup>)

B Slade (Uni. of Utah) ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

B Slade (Uni. of Utah)

Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles

ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles

κ in Sinhala & Dravidian: relative clauses & beyond κ in Sinhala & Dravidian: relative clauses & beyond Relative-Correlatives in Classical Sinhala & Dravidian Early Sinhala & Dravidian relative-correlatives Classical Sinhala [ yamak'hu paļamu dițim ]<sub>RC</sub> -da [ ohu marā (5) [ Rel-pron.msc.sg.acc firstly see.1sg ]  $_{\rm RC}$  -  $\!da$  [ him kill.conv ...]  $_{\rm cc}$ Structure "Whoever, I see first, I shall kill him, ..." Relative-correlatives in Classical (& modern literary) Sinhala always involve a (Amāvatura 133, Wijemanne 1984:210) "clause-closing" particle. The same is true in (modern) South/South-Central Dravidian (Hock 1988, 1989, 2008). These "clause-closing" particles are da in Malayalam Sinhala and ō in Dravidian. [ ārə manassə aṭakkunnuv ] -ō [ avannə samādhānam kiṭṭunnu ] (6) [ who mind control.PRES ]<sub>RC</sub>  $-\bar{o}$  [ he.DAT peace obtain.pres lcc "Whoever; controls his mind, he; obtains peace." (Asher & Kumari 1997:54) both  $\kappa$ -series elements: Sinhala da, Malayalam  $\bar{o}$ 4□ > 4團 > 4 를 > 4 를 > 를 ♥940 ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles B Slade (Uni. of Utah) Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles κ in Sinhala & Dravidian: relative clauses & beyond "ever" free relatives crosslinguistically "Ever" relative-correlatives in other Indo-Aryan languages "ever" free relatives crosslinguistically wh(/rel. pro.)+ $\mu$  form Hindi English: wh+"ever", e.g. whoever, whatever, wherever, however (\*whyever)
Italian: wh+"ever", e.g. chiunque "whoever" (Caponigro 2003)
Czech: wh+ "ever", e.g. cokoliv" "whatever" (Simik 2016)
Bulgarian: wh+"also", e.g. kakvoto j "whatever" (Izvorski 2000)
Dutch: wh+"then"+"also", e.g. wie dan ook "whoever" (Rullmann 1996)
Indo-Aryan (Hindi, Nepali,...): rel. pron.+"also/even", e.g. Hindi jo bhī "whoever"
Japanese: wh+"even", e.g. dare-demo "whoever" (Nishigauchi 1986) bhī dillī jātā l∫tab hindī hī (7) [ jab hũ [ REL.when  $bh\bar{\iota}$  Delhi go.impf.msc.sg be.1sg.pres ] [ then Hindi емрн boltā hũ speak.IMPF.MSC.SG be.1SG.PRES ] "Whenever I go to Delhi, (then) I speak Hindi, of course." (McGregor 1995. 3rd edn.: wh+modal marker Nepali [ jahilesukai usko ghar gae ] pani [ uslāī kahile pani • Greek, e.g. opjos-dhipote "whoever" (Giannakidou 1998, 2001) (8) [ REL.whenever his house go.2PERF-PTCP.3SG ] pani [ him sometime pani • Spanish qual-quiera & Catalan qual-sevol "whoever" (Quer 1998) bhet hũdaina meet be.NEG.PRES.3SG ] other strategies "Whenever you go to his house, you can never meet him." (Matthews 1998: 184) ● **Modern Hebrew**: wh + "neg", e.g. ma še-lo "whatever", lit. 'what that-NEG' (Eilam 2009) both  $\mu$ -series elements: Hindi  $bh\bar{\imath}$ , Nepali pani■ Turkish: wh + cond. marker on verb, e.g. kim kazan-ır-sa, lit. "who win-AOR-COND" (latridou 2013) B Slade (Uni. of Utah) B Slade (Uni. of Utah) ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles "ever" free relatives crosslinguistically "ever" free relatives crosslinguistically Korean Hungarian • Korean is similar in using a "disjunctive" element combined with a wh-word with an FC type interpretation, but not as relatives (and also compatible (10) [ Akit szeret Mari ], azt meghívta a buliba. with a general universal reading): (Gill et al. 2006) [ who(ever) loves Mari ], pro invited to party Nwukwu-na kimchi-lul cohahan-ta "Who(ever) Mari loves, she invited to the party." who-disj kimchi.acc like.decl (11) János vehet [amit csak akar ] "Everyone/anyone likes kimchi." John buy [ what only wants ] "John can buy whatever he wants." • (Gill et al. suggest that for feature-valuing reasons, na is always accompanying by a phonetically-silent Dist distributive operator; and in their analysis the  $\mu$ -type interpretation actually is contributed by  $\mathit{Dist}$ ) Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio 11 / 47 B Slade (Uni. of Utah) Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

"ever" free relatives crosslinguistically "ever" free relatives crosslinguistically South Asia language distribution [Drav. & Sinhala] (True) relative-correlatives in (early) Sinhala & Dravidian • in Classical & modern literary Sinhala, true relative-correlatives (as opposed to prenominal modifying relative clauses), involve a relative clause formed with a rel. pro. and a "clause-closing" (Hock) particle, of the  $\kappa(!)$ -type: da• similarly in South and South-Central Dravidian languages, true Central Drav South-Central Dra South Drav Indo-Aryan relative-correlatives involve a relative clause formed with a  $\it wh$ -word and a "clause-closing" particle of the  $\kappa$ -type:  $\bar{o}$ "ever" free relatives crosslinguistically Analysis of  $\mu$  relative-correlatives Conforming Dravidian examples Analysis of *wh*(/rel. pro.)+ $\mu$  forms [ uńkaļ-ukku evvaļavu vēṇṭ-um-]-ō avvaļuvu nān taru-kir-ēn [ you.PL.DAT how\_much want.FUT.3NEU.SG ]-o that\_much I give.PRES.1SG "However much you want, that much I'll give you." [Tamil] (Krishnamurti 2003: 448) drōham ceyy-unnuv- ]-ō avan pāpi ākunnu ∫ēt-oruvan which-one.msc.sg evil.acc do.pres ]-ō he sinner become.PRES  $\, \bullet \,$  Dayal (1995): Hindi  $bh\bar{\imath}$  (& English -ever) are polarity forms that induce "Whoever does evil, he becomes a sinner." [Malayalam] (Krishnamurti 2003: 448) widening (and are licensed if result in strengthening) [ brāhmanar āga bēk(u) anta yāruyārige āśe brahmin.nom become.inf want say.cnj who-who.dat desire.nom be.pres.3sg.neu ]-\(\bar{o}\) Dayal (1997): bhī/ever add a modal dimension, introducing brāhmanaru i(dentity)-alternatives they.nom-all brahmin.pl.nom "Whoever has the desire to become a brahmin, they all are brahmins." [Kannada] (Steever 1998) [ ēdi kāwāl(i)- ]- $ar{o}$  adi paṭṭu-ku-pō [ what be-wanted- ]- $ar{o}$  that take-refl-go.imp.2sg "Take away whatever you want." [Telugu] (Krishnamurti 2003: 448) [ mā kīdu inika manad ]- $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$  dani pēru veRtu our hands what is  $]-\bar{o}$  that name tell.IMPV "Tell us the name of whatever is in our hands." [Konda] (Krishnamurti & Benham 1998) B Slade (Uni. of Utah) Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio B Slade (Uni. of Utah) Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles

ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

## Dayal's (1997) analysis

whatever<sub>j</sub> [IP... $t_j$ ...] denotes at w =

- ⓐ  $\lambda Q \forall i$ -alternatives ∈  $f(w)(s) [Q(i)(\iota x[P(i)(x)]]$  where P is the property derived by abstracting over  $x_j$  in the IP denotation.
- ② f(w)(s) = w':  $\forall p[s \text{ believes } p(w) \rightarrow p(w') \text{ for a world of evaluation } w \text{ and } w$ speaker s, f(w)(s) is the set of worlds in which the speaker's beliefs about whold.
- ② a world  $w' \in f(w)(s)$  is an i-alternative iff there exists some  $w'' \in f(w)(s)$ , such that  $\iota x[P(w')(x)] \neq \iota x[P(w'')(x)]$

## Interaction with aspect (Dayal 1997)

## Identity

- Mary is cooking something. Whatever she is cooking uses onions."
- $\forall i-alt \in f(w)(s)[uses-onions(i)(\iota x[cooking(i)(x)(m)])]$
- 3 i-alt<sub>1</sub>:  $\iota x[cooking(i)(x)(m)] = ratatouille$  $i-alt_2 : \iota x[cooking(i)(x)(m)] = daal$  $i-alt_3 : \iota x[cooking(i)(x)(m)] = goulash$

## Plain FR

- What Mary is cooking uses onions."
- uses-onions(w)(\(\infty\)[cooking(w)(x)(m)])

#### FC

- "Whatever Mary cooks uses onions"
- $\@$   $\forall w[C(w)][\forall i-alt \in f(w)(s)[uses-onions(i)(\iota x[cooking(i)(x)(m)])]]$

B Slade (Uni. of Utah) Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles

B Slade (Uni. of Utah) Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

Analysis of  $\mu$  relative-correlatives

### Aspect in Hindi and *bhī* (Dayal 1995: 193-4)

#### Identity

bhī laṛkī **sundar hai** ravi usse (17) jo Rel.pro  $bh\bar{\iota}$  girl pretty be.3rd.sg.pres Ravi her.instr milnā cāhtā hai meet.INF want.IMPF.MASC.SG be.3RD.SG.PRES "Ravi wants to meet the girl who is beautiful (whoever she may be)."

FC

(18) jo bhī laṛkī **sundar hotī** REL.PRO bhī girl pretty be.impf.fem.sg be.3rd.sg.pres Ravi milnā cāhtā usse hai her.Instr meet.Inf want.Impf.masc.sg be.3rd.sg.pres "Ravi wants to meet any girl who is beautiful."

Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles Analysis of  $\kappa$  relative-correlatives

4 U > 4 U >

ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

'Someone (unknown) touched me in the darkness.' [Modern Literary

In modern literary Sinhala, alongside of disjunctive uses of  $h\bar{o}$  as in (19), we also

ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

[Modern Literary Sinhala]

Analysis of  $\kappa$  relative-correlatives

Sinhalal

## Extension of da to indefinites in modern colloquial Sinhala

In modern colloquial Sinhala, both hari (earlier  $h\bar{o}$ ) and  $d\bar{\sigma}$  (earlier da) can form epistemic indefinites

- (21) a. Kau də mese uda natanava. Who da table on dance.PRES.
  - Kauru hari mese uda natanava. Who hari table on dance.PRES. "Someone is dancing on the table."
- The difference between (21-a) and (21-b): either intensional vs extensional (Slade 2011) or difference in identification method [name/def. descript. vs deictic/visual] (Slade 2015)
- Perhaps developed epistemic presuppositional component earlier on, and it shows up in the relative-correlative formation, utilising the anti-singleton presupposition similar to Alonso-Ovalle & Menéndez-Benito (2010) and the choice-function variable analysis of Q-particles from Slade (2011).

## Semantics of $da/\bar{o}$ : maximality

• Treat relative pronouns (& wh-words appear in that function) as following Jacobson (1995), Rullmann (1995) as involving a maximality operator max (Link 1983)

Relative pronoun interpretation

- (22) a. [who Sita sees]
  - b.  $max(\lambda x[see(s, x)])$
  - c.  $\iota[\sec(s, x) \land \forall x'[\sec(s, x') \rightarrow x' \leq x]]$

Analysis of κ relative-correlatives

rahul **hō** amin **hō** gamaṭa giyāya

kaluvarē kaurun hō mā ælluvēya

'Rahul or Amin went to the village.'

Rahul hō Amin hō village.DAT go.PAST.3SG

darkness-in who  $h\bar{o}$  I.Acc touch.past.msc.3sg

Sinhala da in modern Sinhala

find a special epistemic indefinite use, as in (20)

 satisfied where the set denoted by the relative pronoun includes sum, and crucially a least upper bound, or (trivially) where the set is a singleton set

B Slade (Uni. of Utah)

Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles

Satisfying both anti-singleton presupposition & max: FC

ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio 22 / 47

## Semantics of $da/\bar{o}$ : choice-functions & anti-singleton presupposition

treat  $da/\bar{o}$  as denoting a variable over choice-functions

anti-singleton constraint

Assuming that da bears the anti-singleton presupposition found in epistemic indefinites, the Q-particle component is satisfied when it applies to a non-singleton set [refined below]

anti-singleton constraint refined

$$|\{x: x = y(w): y \in P(w): w \in W_{sb}\}| > 1$$

The set of extensions in worlds consistent with speaker's beliefs of the members of P is greater than one.

assume max applies first

- If anti-singleton presupposition is to be satisfied, must be multiple  $individual (/individual\ concepts)\ for\ which\ the\ proposition\ is\ satisfied\ (w.r.t.$ speaker's knowledge)
- If max is satisfied, there must be a maximal sum, or else a single atom
- Thus, one way both can be satisfied is where there is a maximal sum ("plural" interpretation of relative pronoun), assuming that maximal sums count as non-singletons. Thus derives the FC "universal" reading

ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

B Slade (Uni. of Utah)

Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles

ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

B Slade (Uni. of Utah)

Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles

Analysis of  $\kappa$  relative-correlatives Analysis of κ relative-correlatives FC/Universal reading Satisfying both anti-singleton presupposition & max: identity Sinhala FC • assume max applies first tā kīvā kered l da ovun hæma denā (23) [ Yam kenek [ REL.PRO person.INDEF.NOM your bidding do.CAUS.3PL.PRES ] da they.OBL all people • assume the case in which the relative pronoun points to a single individual; genæ ...rājya sīmāyen piṭat væ yā take.conv ...kingdom border outside ? go.IMPV this trivially satisfies max "Go out of the kingdom after taking all of those who obey you." (Amāvatura 64, Wijemanne 1984: • but this will not satisfy the anti-singleton presupposition of the Q-particle, UNLESS i(dentity)-alternatives are introduced at this point. Thus derives the  $IP_1: \exists f \in \mathit{CH}: \lambda t \lambda \mathit{P.P}(t)(\mathit{take}')(\mathit{f}(\{g+c+j\}))$ identity reading  $\text{CP:}\textit{f}(\textit{max}(\{g+c+j,g+c,g+j,j+c\dots\})) \quad \text{IP}_2{:}\; \lambda\textit{P.P}(\textit{t})(\textit{take}')$ 4 D > 4 D > 4 E > 4 E > E 9 Q C ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio B Slade (Uni. of Utah) ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles Analysis of  $\kappa$  relative-correlatives Loose Ends & Historical Identity reading (Apparently) Non-conforming Dravidian examples Sinhala FC ]-ō ā huḍuga nann-a geļeya [ yāva huḍuga nimm-a kai-kuluk-id-an-[ which boy you.gen hand-shake.past.3m.sg ]-ō that boy I.gen friend paļamu diţim ]<sub>RC</sub> da [ ohu marā (24) [ yamak'hu gaṇan sapurami REL-PRON.MSC.SG.ACC firstly see.1SG  $]_{RC}$  da [ him kill.conv number complete.PRES.1SG "The boy who you shook hands with is my friend." [Kannada] (Krishnamurti 2003: 448) [ [ yāvud-annu huḍukalu ] nīvu iṣṭu kaṣṭa [ [ yāvud-annu huḍukalu ] nīvu iṣṭu kaṣṭa paṭṭir ]-ō ā pusta [ [ which-one.ACC seek.INF ] you.NOM such difficulty befall.PAST.2PL ]- $\bar{o}$  that book ]-ōā pustaka "Whichever person I see first, I shall kill him and complete the number." (Amāvatura 133, cited from Wijemanne 1984: 210) here-just be.pres.3sg.neu "The book, looking for which gave you such trouble, is right here." [Kannada] (Steever 1998: 152)  $\mathsf{IP}_1 \colon \exists f \in \mathit{CH} : \lambda t \lambda \mathit{P.P}(t)(\mathit{take}')(\mathit{f}(\{x : I \mathsf{ see } x \mathsf{ first}\}))$  $\mathsf{CP} \colon \mathit{f}(\mathit{max}(\{x : I \; \mathsf{see} \; x \; \mathsf{first}\})) \quad \mathsf{IP}_2 \colon \lambda \mathit{P.P}(t)(\mathit{take}')$ But perhaps (26) reflects speaker's indifference about identity of book, and perhaps (25) is referencing presupposes:  $|\{x: x = y(w): y \in P(w): w \in W_{sb}\}| > 1$ , for P = x: I see x first $\}$ addressee's ignorance (id of boy) rather than speaker's. (I.e. implicates that speaker doesn't know/care about the extension "the person I see first") 4 D > 4 D > 4 E > 4 E > 9 Q O B Slade (Uni. of Utah) B Slade (Uni. of Utah) ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles ICHL23(LVLC): San Antonio 27 / 47 Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles 28 / 47 Examples with clear plurals Other Classical Sinhala examples Dravidian [ brāhmanar - āga multiple relative pronouns correlating with multiple correlative pronouns bēk(u) anta yāruyārige āśe (27) [ brahmin.nom become.inf want say.cnj who-who.dat desire.nom be.pres.3sg.neu ]- $\bar{o}$ gætte ] da he [ Yamek yamak'hu (30) avarella brāhmanaru [ REL.PRON.INDEF.NOM REL.PRON.INDEF.GEN taken ] da DEM.PRON.NOM they.nom-all brahmin.pl.nom "Whoever has the desire to become a brahmin, they all are brahmins." [Kannada] (Steever 1998) ovhata mæ ve vayi DEM.PRON.DAT [repeated from (14) above] "May the respective owner of each item have it back." [Amāvatura 301] (Classical) Sinhala (28) Yam kenaknata dun danæ phala mahata ve l da ovunata dan devā [ REL.PRO person.DAT.PL.INDEF gift given fruit great is ] da them.DAT gift give.CAUSE With conditional nam rather than Q-particle da gihi [ vam minisek ovun-ge vāda maňḍanaṭa come proper  $[\begin{array}{ccc} \textbf{Rel-Pron} \text{ householder person.Indef their} & \textbf{talks trample.Inf.dat suitable} \end{array}$ "I must come after making him give gifts to those, to whomever when some gift is given, the result (of that giving) is great." (Amāvatura 79, Wijemanne 1984: 210) ]<sub>RC</sub> nam [ ohaṭa pādaparicārikā veti ]<sub>CC</sub> VĪ tā kīvā kered be.past.3sg ]rc cond.ptcp [ him.masc.dat wed.pres.3sg [ Yam kenek l da ovun hæma denā [ REL.PRO person.INDEF.NOM your bidding do.CAUS.3PL.PRES ] da they.OBL all people "They become the wives of any layman who may be able to refute their genæ ...rājya sīmāyen piṭat væ yā take.conv ...kingdom border outside ? go.ɪмpv arguments." (Amāvatura 150, cited from Wijemanne 1984: 212) [Classical Sinhala] "Go out of the kingdom after taking all of those who obey you." (Amāvatura 64, Ibid.) B Slade (Uni. of Utah) Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio B Slade (Uni. of Utah) Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

Loose Ends & Historical

### Classical Sinhala - multiple clauses in relative

With neither da nor nam in the case of multiple clauses in relative (apparently optional with multiple clauses)

(32) yam se suļaňga hamana, kalæ atu sæleyi, diya sæleyi, REL.PRO the wind blows, the branches shake, the water shakes, ehi sit næti. e seyin mæ kāya daṇḍa du acittaka veyi they are mindless, in that way physical action is mindless "When the wind blows, the branches shake, the water shakes; they are mindless; in the same manner, physical action too is mindless." (Amāvatura 51)

B Slade (Uni. of Utah)

Loose Ends & Historical

ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

## Old Sinhala examples (cont.)

The other 3 examples use yam but no 'closing' particle, e.g.:

Sihigirī aṅgnak baṇavat (34) me vannā var Sihigiri.loc woman.indef speak.cond.caus this going  $\,$  occasion thief se ho yam desekæ mā bæli like 3SG.FEM-PRN REL-PRON direction.Loc.Indef 1SG-PRN.GEN look.PAST tomo. agan me niyā. REFLX-PRN.FEM. women this manner

"When I, while passing by, speak to a lady of Sihigiri, she herself, roguishly, looked in the direction (where) I (was). Women are like this." [Sigiri Graffiti 305] [Old Sinhala]

B Slade (Uni. of Utah)

Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles

ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

# South Asia language distribution [Drav. & Sinhala]

North Drav Central Drav South-Central Drav South Drav B Slade (Uni. of Utah) Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particle ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

# More on Dravidian relative-correlatives

Absence of  $\bar{o}$  in relative-correlatives

Also lacking in post-relative clause particles are Old Kannada (Hock 2008), and (all?) modern "northern" Dravidian languages (Pengo, Kuvi, Kolami, Parji, Kurukh) (Hock 1988, 1989, 2008).

Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

## Old Sinhala examples

Relative-correlatives in Old Sinhala

True relative-correlatives in Old Sinhala are distinctly rare (the prenominal modifying type is vastly preferred); I have found only 4 examples.

Only 1 uses any sort of closing particle (the conditional nam rather than da)

Loose Ends & Historical

ma (33) [ Pere yam hæjin nam ]<sub>RC</sub> [ pahani-j [ formerly Rel-Pron know.past cond-Ptc 1sg-Prn.acc/gen ] RC [ satisfy.past alalæ mā ]<sub>RC</sub> [e yat me et nam cond-ptc love.loc 1sg-prn.gen ] $_{RC}$  [ 3sg.acc go.cond this come.past.3pl ] $_{CC}$ "She who was known (to me) earlier, who was satisfied in her love of me, when I go [Old Sinhala] to her, these (people) are coming (from her)." [Sigiri Graffiti 251]

[it also happens to involve multiple relatives correlated to a single correlative]

B Slade (Uni. of Utah)

Loose Ends & Historical

Early Dravidian relative-correlatives

In early South Dravidian, the particle  $\bar{o}$  is not always in evidence in relative-correlatives

- [ e-vari nall-avar āṭavar ]<sub>RC</sub> [ a-vari nall-ai ]cc [ which-place good.MASC.3PL men.MASC.3PL ]RC [ that-place good.2SG ]CC "At whichever place men are good, at that place you are good." (cited from Lehmann [Old Tamil] 1998: 94)
- [ yātontu mahārājaniyōgam ]<sub>RC</sub> [ atu a-vannam  $[\ what. {\tt NEUT}\ maharaja\text{-}order \qquad]_{\tt RC}\ [\ that. {\tt NEUT}\ in\text{-}that\text{-}manner}\ ]_{\tt CC}$ "Whatever is the king's order, (let) that (be done) in that manner." (cited from Pillai [Old Malayalam]

BUT

e-nāl-ō ... nī celvatu a-nāl kontū irakkum which-day-  $\bar{\pmb{o}}$  . . . you go.nonpast.nom that-day from die.nonpast.3sg.nom ivaļ . . . uyir-ē she ... life

"On whichever day you will go, from that day (onwards) her life will die." (Kalittokai 5.18-19, cited from Thomas Lehmann, p.c.) [late Old Tamil (500-700 A.D.)]

Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio B Slade (Uni. of Utah)

Loose Ends & Historical Loose Ends & Historical Non-varying relative-correlatives? Prenominal modifying participial relatives in Dravidian Question: [PP NP PP Adip nērru inkē va-nt-a ][NP anta paiyan ]]]-ai ] nān (40) Why would relative-correlatives would always involve variation in the first place? [PP][NP][NP][NP][AdjP] yesterday here come-past-adj [NP][NP] that boy [PP][NP][NP] [ I.Nom inru pār-tt-ēn Possible answer, part i (answer to: "how could this be the case?"): today see-PAST-1SG For "regular" relative clauses, Sinhala (& Dravidian) possess a prenominal "today I saw the boy who came here yesterday" (Krishnamurti 2003: 444) [Tamil] modifying participial construction, very usual as far back as the 8th-c. A.D.: pūcca kiṭakunna cākə [ [ guruwərəyek wenə ] mahattəya ] huñgak dannəwa. cat lie.pres.adj sack [ [ teacher.INDEF.NOM become.PRES.ADJ ] man.DEF ] much know.PRES "the sack on which the cat lies ..." (Krishnamurti 2003: 445) [Malavalam] "The man who is becoming a teacher knows a lot." (cited from Gair 1995[1998]: 245) [Colloquial Sinhala] hinde gōḍaun iruva behind warehouse be.NONPAST.ADJ shop Nægæ mehi [[ma senehī ] himabiyanæta ] (39) "the shop which has a warehouse at the back ..." ( $\mathit{Ibid}$ .) [Kannada] rise.conv this.loc [ [ 1SG-PRN.GEN love.PAST.PTCP ] lady.dat.pl tupa no daha puli (43) camp-in-a manisi 2PL-PRN.GEN NEG show anger.IMPV tiger.nom kill-past-adj man "Having ascended here, do not show anger towards the ladies who have been loved "the person whom the tiger killed ..." ( $\emph{Ibid.}$ ) [Telugu] by me." (Lit., "... towards the loved-by-me ladies") [Old Sinhala] (Sigiri Graffiti no. 308; Paranavitana 1956) ←□→←□→←□→←□→□□
→○ B Slade (Uni. of Utah) ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio B Slade (Uni. of Utah) ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles Loose Ends & Historical Loose Ends & Historical Comparison with Nepali Underspecification of regular relatives Also the case in Nepali Especially in the spoken language, Nepali prefers the prenominal modifying participial type of relative: Hungarian (44) a. hijo āeko mānche ... (45)János vehet amit akar ] yesterday come.perf.ptcp man ... buy.pot.3sg.indef [ what.acc wants ] "The man who came yesterday..." "János can buy what(ever) he wants." (Lipták 2012: 6n5) bholī āune mānche ... tomorrow come.infn.ptcp man ... Underspecification of "plain" relatives in English "The man who's coming tomorrow ..." (46) I'm free, to choose whom I please, any old time. I'm free, to please who I choose, any old time. • The 'true' relative-correlatives in Nepali are used mostly in formal writing. ("I'm free", M. Jagger & K. Richards 1965, from Horn 2000) And in speech the relative pronouns most frequently appear as 'ever' FRs (even when they don't include the 'ever'-element, i.e. the additive pani) • (this is not true, however, of the corresponding Hindi relative-correlatives, which are frequent in speech as well) 4 D > 4 D > 4 E > 4 E > 9 Q O B Slade (Uni. of Utah) Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles B Slade (Uni. of Utah) ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles 40 / 47 Summary Remaining issues Provide a more compositional account for wh-+μ-type relatives ullet  $\mu$ -type "ever" relatives involve the  $\mu$ -element introducing i-alternatives and  $\, \bullet \,$  reformulation which captures the fact that these elements are  $\mu$  elements, providing universal quantificational force over these (as per Dayal 1997) something more generalised •  $\kappa$ -type "ever" relatives involve the  $\kappa$ -element contributing an anti-singleton ullet further probe into differences between Hindi & Nepali (same  $\mu$ -type presupposition, which can be satisfied either by a plural individual (FC-like) element, but different syntax) or the situation where the speaker has uncertainty/indifference regarding • further investigation into whether there always a variation/alternative the extensions of the relevant individual concept component in Classical/literary Sinhala and South Dravidian relative-correlative? B Slade (Uni. of Utah) Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio B Slade (Uni. of Utah) Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

Summary/Conclusion/References Summary/Conclusion/References References I References II Gair, James W. 1986 [1998]. Sinhala focused sentences: Naturalization of a calque. In Bhadriraju Krishnamurti, Colin P. Masica & Anjani Kumar Sinha (eds.), South Asian languages: Structure, convergence and diglossia, 147–164. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass. [Reprinted with additional notes in Gair 1998;155-165]. Alonso-Ovalle, Luis. 2006. Disjunction in alternative semantics. Amherst, MA: University of Massachusetts, Amherst dissertation Alonso-Ovalle, Luis & Paula Menéndez-Benito. 2003. Some epistemic indefinites. In M. Kadowaki & S. Kawahara (eds.), Proceedings of the North East Linguistic Society, 33, 1–21. Amherst, MA: GLSA. Gair, James W. 1992. AGR, INFL, Case and Sinhala diglossia, or Can linguistic theory find a home in variety. In Braj Kachru, Edward C. Dimock & Bhadriraju Krishnamutti (eds.). Dimensions of South Asia as a sociolinguistic area: Papers in memory of Gerald B. Kelley, 179–197. Delhi: Oxford India Book House. Alonso-Ovalle, Luis & Paula Menéndez-Benito. 2010. Modal indefinites. Natural Language Semantics 18. 1-31. Alonso-Ovalle, Luis & Junko Shimoyama. 2013. Expressing ignorance in the nominal domain: Japanese Wh-ka. WCCFL 31, Arizona State University. Gair, James W. 1995[1998]. Syntactic theory, AGR, and Sinhala diglossia. Published in Gair 1998:237-267 Asher, Ronald E. & T.C. Kumari. 1997. Malayalam. London: Routledge. Gair, James W. 1998. Studies in South Asian linguistics: Sinhala and other South Asian languages. New York: Oxford University Press Bhatt, Rajesh & Anikó Lipták. 2009. Matching effects in the temporal and locative domains. In Anikó Lipták (ed.), Correlatives cross-linguistically, 343–372. Amsterdam: John Benjamin. Gair, James W. & W.S. Karunatilaka. 1974. Literary Sinhala. Ithaca, NY: South Asia Program and Department of Modern Languages and Linguistics, Bittner, Maria. 2001. Topical referents for individuals and possibilities. In R. Hastings, B. Jackson & Z. Zvolensky (eds.), Proceedings of SALT XI, 36–55. Ithaca, NY: CLC, Cornell University. Giannakidou, Anastasia. 1998. Polarity sensitivity as (non)veridical dependency. Amsterdam: John Benjamin Böhtlingk, Otto & Rudolph Roth (eds.). 1855–1875. Sanskrit-Wörterbuch. St. Petersburg: Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften Giannakidou, Anastasia. 2001. The meaning of FC. Linguistics and Philosophy 24. 659-735. Brasoveanu, Adrian. 2012. Correlatives. Language and Linguistics Compass 6(1). 1-20. Gill, Kook-Hee, Steve Harlow & George Tsolas. 2006. Disjunction and indeterminate-based quantification in Korean. Ms., University of York. Caponigro, Ivano. 2003. Free not to ask: On the semantics of free relatives and wh-words cross-linguistically. Los Angeles, CA: University of California Los Angeles dissertation. Hamblin, Charles Leonard. 1973. Questions in Montague English. Foundations of Language 10(1). 41-53 Hock, Hans Henrich. 1988. Review article: Finiteness in Dravidian. Studies in the Linguistic Sciences 18(2). 211-231. Daval, Veneeta, 1995. Quantification in correlatives. In Elke Bach, Eloise Jelinek, Angelika Kratzer & Barbara H. Partee (eds.), Quantification in Hock, Hans Henrich. 1989. Review of Steever (1988): The serial verb formation in the Dravidian languages. Language 65, 398-405. natural languages, 179-205. Dordrecht: Kluwer Hock, Hans Henrich. 2008. Dravidian syntactic typology: A reply to Steever. In Rajendra Singh (ed.), Annual Review of South Asian Languages and Linguistics, 164–198. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. Dayal, Veneeta. 1996. Locality in wh quantification: Questions and relative clauses in Hindi. Dordrecht: Kluwer. Dayal, Veneeta. 1997. Free relatives and ever. In A. Lawson (ed.), Proceedings from Semantics and Linguistic Theory (SALT) 7, 99–116. Ithaca, NY: CLC Publications, Cornell University. Horn, Laurence R. 2000. Any and (-)ever: free choice and free relatives. In Proceedings of the 15th Annual Conference of the Israeli Association for Theoretical Linguistics, 71-111 Eilam, Aviad. 2009. The crosslinguistic realization of -ever: Evidence from modern Hebrew. Ms., University of Pennsylvania. http://semanticsarchive.net/Archive/mQ5ZjY3N. latridou, Sabine. 2013. Looking for free relatives in Turkish (and the unexpected places this leads to). In Umut Özge (ed.), Proceedings of Cambridge, MA: MITWPL. Fairbanks, Gordon H., James W. Gair & M.W.S. De Silva. 1968. Colloquial Sinhalese (Sinhala). Ithaca, NY: South Asia Program and Department of Modern Languages and Linguistics, Cornell University. Izvorski, Roumyana. 2000. Free adjunct free relatives. In Billerey & Lillehaugen (ed.), WCCFL 19 proceedings, 232-245. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla 4 D > 4 D > 4 E > 4 E > E 9 Q C 4 L > 4 D > 4 E > 4 E > E 9 Q C B Slade (Uni. of Utah) ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles B Slade (Uni. of Utah) Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles Summary/Conclusion/References Summary/Conclusion/References References III References IV Jacobson, Pauline. 1995. On the quantificational force of english free relatives. In Emmon Bach, Eloise Jelinek, Angelika Kratzer & Barbara H. Partee (eds.), Quantification in natural language, 451-486. Dordrecht: Springer. Paranavitana. Senarat. 1956. Sigiri graffiti. Sinhalese verses of the eighth, ninth and tenth centuries. London: Oxford University Press. Pillai, P.V. 1973. Early Malayalam prose: A study. Trivandrum, Kerala: University of Kerala. Jayaseelan, Karattuparambil A 2008. Question particles and disjunction. Ms., Hyderabad, English and Foreign Languages University. http://ling.auf.net/lingBuzz/000644. Quer, Josep. 1998. Mood at the interface. Utrecht: University of Utrecht dissertation Jayaseelan, Karattuparambil A. 2016. Decomposing coordination: The two operators of coordination. Linguistic Analysis 40(3-4). 237-253 Reichenbach, Hans. 1947. Elements of symbolic logic. New York: Macmillan. Rohrer, Christian. 1973. On the relation between disjunction and existential quantification. In Maurice Gross, Morris Halle & Marcel-Paul Schützenberger (eds.), The formal analysis of natural languages: Proceedings of the First International Conference, 224–232. The Hague-Mouton. Kratzer, Angelika & Junko Shimoyama. 2002. Indeterminate phrases: the view from Japanese. In Y. Otsu (ed.), The Proceedings of the Third Tokyo Conference on Psycholinguistics, 1-25, Tokyo: Hituzi Syobo. Krishnamurti, Bhadriraju. 2003. The Dravidian languages. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press Rullmann, Hotze. 1995. Maximality in the semantics of wh-constructions. Amherst, MA: University of Massachusetts at Amherst diss Krishnamurti, Bhadriraju & Brett A. Benham. 1998. Koṇḍa. In Sanford B. Steever (ed.), The Dravidian languages, 241-269. London: Routledge Rullmann, Hotze, 1996. Two types of negative polarity items. In Proceedings of NELS 26, 335-350. Lehmann, Thomas. 1994. Grammatik des Alttamil unter besonder Berück-sichtigung der Carikam-texte des Dichters Kapilar. Stuttgart: Steiner Simik, Radok. 2016. On the semantics of Czech free relatives. In Markéta Ziková & Pavel Caha (eds.), Linguistica brunensia 64/1: Festschrift for Petr Karlík, 109-129. Brno: Masaryk University. http://hdl.handle.net/11222.digilib/135453. Lehmann, Thomas. 1998. Old Tamil. In Sanford B. Steever (ed.), The Dravidian languages, 75–99. London: Routledge Slade, Benjamin. 2011. Formal and philological inquiries into the nature of interrogatives, indefinites, disjunction, and focus in Sinhala and other languages. Urbana: University of Illinois dissertation. Link, Godehard. 1983. The logical analysis of plurals and mass terms. In Rainer Bäuerle, Christoph Schwarze & Armim von Stechow (eds.), Meaning. use and interpretation of language, Berlin: Walter de Gruyter. Slade, Benjamin. 2013. Question particles and relative clauses in the history of Sinhala, with comparison to early and modern Dravidian. In Shu-Fen Chen & Benjamin Slade (eds.), Grammatica et verbu Clamor and verve: Studies in South Asian, historical, and Indo-European linguistics in honor of Hans Hernich Hotoch on the occasion of his seventy-fifth birthday, 142—586. Ann Arbor, Mit Beech Stave Press. Lipták, Anikó. 2009. The landscape of correlatives: An empirical and analytic survey. In Anikó Lipták (ed.), Correlatives cross-linguistically, 1–46 Amsterdam: John Benjamin. Lipták, Anikó. 2012. Correlative topicalization. Acta Linguistica Hungarica 59(3). 245-302. Slade, Benjamin. 2015. Sinhala epistemic indefinites with a certain je ne sais quoi. In Luis Alonso-Ovalle & Paula Menéndez-Benito (eds.), Epistemic indefinites: Exploring modality beyond the verbal domain, 82-99. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Matthews, David. 1998. A course in Nepali. London: Curzon. McGregor, R.S. 1995. 3rd edn. Outline of Hindi grammar. New Delhi: Oxford University Press. Srivastay, Veneeta. 1991. The syntax and semantics of correlatives. Natural Language and Linguistic Theory 9, 637-686. Menéndez-Benito, Paula. 2010. On universal free choice items. Natural Language Semantics 18(1). 33-64. Steever, Sanford B. 1998. Kannada. In Sanford B. Steever (ed.), The Dravidian languages, 129–157. London: Routledge. Mitrović, Moreno. 2014. Morphosyntactic atoms of propositional logic: a philo-logical programme. Cambridge: University of Cambridge Szabolcsi, Anna. 2010. Quantification. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Szabolcsi, Anna. 2015. What do quantifier particles do? Linguistics and Philosophy 38. 159-204.

B Slade (Uni. of Utah) Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles

ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio 46 / 47

#### B Slade (Uni. of Utah) Sim Summary/Co

References V

Turner, Ralph Lilley. 1931. A comparative and etymological dictionary of the Nepali language. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, Ltd. (Reprinted 1980, New Delhi: Allied Publishers, Ltd.).

dissertation.

Nishigauchi, Taisuke. 1986. Quantification in syntax. Amherst, MA: University of Massachusetts, Amherst dissertation.

स्वाप १ वर्ष १

Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles

Turner, Ralph Lilley. 1962–1966. A comparative dictionary of Indo-Aryan languages. London: Oxford University Press. [Reprinted, Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1999].

Wickremasinghe, Don Martino De Zilva, H.W. Codrington & Senarat Paranavitana (eds.). 1912–1933. Epigraphia Zeylanica: being lithic and other inscriptions of Ceylon. London: H. Frowde for the Govt. of Ceylon.

Wijemanne, Piyaseeli. 1984. Amāvatura, a syntactic study. Colombo: Ministry of Higher Education.

ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio

45 / 47

B Slade (Uni. of Utah) Sinhala relative-correlatives & unexpected particles

ICHL23(LVLC) : San Antonio