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- We report on the preliminary results and the general outlook of a project that aims to combine formal theoretical models with third-wave variationism, contending that register drives of diachronic compositional change
- Drivers of language change - likely a cocktail of several factors acting in concert:
- grammar-internal pressures (Roberts, 2007; Roberts and Roussou, 2003)
- grammar-external pressures: parsing ambiguous utterances (Clark and Roberts, 1993; Yang, 2000), production biases as affecting the shape of change (Kauhanen and Walkden, 2017).
- We contend that social structure, too, drives change: the central notion being register


## REGISTER

- Register is a variety of language used for a particular purpose or particular communicative situation
- ...it is defined as "those aspects of socially recurring intra-individual variation that are influenced by situational and functional settings" (p. 3)
- Register shifts can be observed as linear shifts along scales of situational parameters, such as (in)FORMALity $(\mathrm{a} \rightarrow \mathrm{c})$, itself a cluster to be unpacked:
(1) $\operatorname{FORMAL}(a)>\operatorname{FORMAL}(b)>\operatorname{FORMAL}(c)$
a. Oh dear!
b. Jeez!
c. Fuck!
- We adopt a heuristic of starting our study with a preliminary low-resolution binary classification of historical texts into formal and informal.
- We incorporate a third-wave variationist approach in modelling diachronic change as register-driven.
- Our project sets three core hypotheses:
(1) The availability of and choice between competing expressions of logical meaning (e.g., conjunction) is register-dependent.
(2) The smaller the locality domain of a compositional unit, the more retentive its meaning is in time.
(3) The Constant Rate Effect of historical change holds relative only to a single register.

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

## PRELIMINARY RESULTS

LOGICAL VOCABULARY IN INDO-EUROPEAN

## The 1st Position (1P) marker

(2) Arpineius et lunius Arpineius et lunius 'Arpineius et lunius'
(3) ego kai Odysséus I and Odysseus 'I and Odysseus'
(4) hotrám utá potrám cleanser and presenter 'cleanser and presenter' (RV1:76)
$\qquad$

## The 2nd Position (2P) marker

(5) vayav-indraś- ca Vayu Indra and 'Vayu and Indra'
(G) deimoste phoboste terror and flight and 'terror and flight'
(7) vīam samūtem que life safety and 'the life and safety' (Or. $1 \mathrm{VV}: 28-9)$
$\underbrace{}_{X^{\max }(\text { CONJ }) Y_{i}^{\min } \text { CONJ } Y_{i}^{\max }}$
－To the exclusion of the 1 P marker，the 2P particle may not only express conjunction，but also other logical meanings，such as quantification（existential or universal，family－dependent）－a Latin exemplar

- que as 【AND】 when it combines two DPs（XPs）
- que as builder of 【EVERY】 when it combines a single indefinite whP
（8）quis－que who QuE ＇each＇
- The double semantic profile of the 2P marker is not accidental, nor rare
- $67 \%$ of worl's living languages use the same marker to express conjunction and other logical meanings (e.g., quantifiers), just like in archaic IE.
(9)

| quis- | que | $\leftarrow$ Latin |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kaś- | ca | $\leftarrow$ Sanskrit |
| dare- | mo | $\leftarrow$ Japanese |
| aar- | um | $\leftarrow$ Malayalam |
| $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| who | u-ptc |  |
| 'everyone', 'anyone' |  |  |



- These $\mu$-particles show that expressions of conjunctions can involve a rich underlying structure, featuring two semantically distinct formatives:
- a quantificational 'inner' layer, headed by $\mu^{0}$, and
- a 'junctional outer' layer, headed by ${ }^{0}$, and
- One locus of variation: does the grammar allow for $\mu$-particles to express conjunction?
- since ) ${ }^{0}$ alone can express conjunction (but not the other logical meanings which $\mu$ can build).

The 1st Position (1P) marker


The 2nd Position (1P) marker

$X^{\max }($ CONJ $) Y_{i}^{\min }$ conj $Y_{i}^{\max }$

## The 1st Position (1P) marker

(10) Arpineius et Iunius Arpineius et lunius 'Arpineius et Iunius'
(11) ego kai Odysséus I and Odysseus 'I and Odysseus'
(II L.772)
(12) hotrám utá potrám cleanser and presenter 'cleanser and presenter' (RV1776)

## The 2nd Position (2P) marker

(13) vayav-indraś-ca Vayu Indra and 'Vayu and Indra'
(14) deimoste phoboste terror and flight and 'terror and flight'
(15) vīam samūtem que life safety and
'the life and safety' (or: :iv:i:28-9)
$\underbrace{}_{X^{\max }(\text { CONJ }) Y_{i}^{\min } \operatorname{CONJ} Y_{i}^{\max }}$


## The 2nd Position (2P) marker

(13) vayav-indraś-ca

Vayu Indra and 'Vayu and Indra'
(15) viam samūtem que life safety and 'the life and safety' (Or. ı:VI:28-9)


## WHAT DROVE THIS UNIFORM LOSS?

- All branches lost, at different and relative times, the 2P Iogical particle that can both conjoin and build quantifiers.
- There is evidence for a grammar-internal pressure to retain diachronically the simpler structure
- Novel evidence: the external factor of register plays a role, too.
- Finding coarse register variation in archaic IE:
- Most of the archaic languages, in their earliest attestation, are constituted by formal registers: e.g., legal documents, religious texts, epic narratives, etc.
- To pilot our theory that register plays a role in diachronic change, we look at Latin which boasts texts in a rich range of registers


## LATIN ACROSS REGISTERS

(16)

(17) P(ubli) Pro= pesi
cuntus.
Verpa
que (:quae)
is=
tuc
leges.
〈:vacat〉
Nones
fiden=
ter,
script=
o (:scriptor)
((:PHALLUS))
(18) Publius

Propesius, jerk. You who read this are a prick. - You are not trustworthy, writer.
(DRAWING OF A
PHALLUS)

- Informal Latin provides a perfect window into whether (and, if so, how) register and language change are connected
- Our pilot study of the historical semantics of Latin conjunction is based on the corpus we compiled in-house, containing both formal and informal (cca. 11k tokens) texts, spanning 15 centuries


## AN FORMAL HISTORY OF CONJUNCTIVE que IN LATIN



## AN IN/FORMAL HISTORY OF CONJUNCTIVE que IN LATIN



## ON THE INCONSTANT RATE EFFECT

- Kroch's (1989) Constant Rate Effect (Hypothesis) states that when grammar competition leads to language change, the rate of replacement is the same in all contexts affected by the change.
$\therefore$ a change should proceed and permeate the entire grammar at a constant rate
- We identify three exceptions to this theory, while up-cycling and dubbing it the Inconstant Rate Effect:
(1) The history of formal Latin alone shows a non-logistical diachronic behaviour, deviating from the S-curved shape of change.
(2) 'lower' registers do not reflect the changes observed in the 'higher' ones (H3)
(3) a form persists through time at different rates in different grammatical context (H2)


## AN IN/FORMAL HISTORY OF QUANTIFICATIONAL qUe IN LATIN



## CONCLUSION G DISCUSSION

## CONCLUSION G DISCUSSION

MODELLING G PROJECT OUTLOOK

## MODELLING DESIDERATA

- The preliminary results are consistent with our hypotheses - register plays a role in language change:
- different registers change at different rates
- different grammatical units are differently susceptible (or immune) to change
- The required model must be able to capture these and also the more general facts - we pursue constructing two complementary models to this end, assuming that in tandem they will provide an explanatorily adequate account:
- the grammatical dimension: a formal theoretical model based on an e-language incorporating generative theory (currently underway: Mitrović, 2O24)
- the social dimension: following Newberry et al. (2017) and Burnett (2O23) in adapting a game-theoretic model of register-reflective social interactions
- Incorporating wider diachronic evidence: can the variation in quantifier uses vs. conjunction uses be observed across other branches, and can it be traced to register?
- Simulating the model interaction on synchronic data by looking for real-time change-in-progress:
- Analysing large-scale archival Twitter/X data for living languages that have a QUe-type system of logical marking.
- Will a compositionally more complex expression of conjunction have a social meaning (register) attached to it?
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